

Communication

On the Mechanism of [Rh(CO)CI]-Catalyzed Intermolecular (5 + 2) Reactions between Vinylcyclopropanes and Alkynes

Zhi-Xiang Yu, Paul A. Wender, and K. N. Houk

J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126 (30), 9154-9155• DOI: 10.1021/ja048739m • Publication Date (Web): 03 July 2004

Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on April 1, 2009



More About This Article

Additional resources and features associated with this article are available within the HTML version:

- Supporting Information
- Links to the 12 articles that cite this article, as of the time of this article download
- Access to high resolution figures
- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article

View the Full Text HTML





Published on Web 07/03/2004

On the Mechanism of [Rh(CO)₂Cl]₂-Catalyzed Intermolecular (5 + 2) Reactions between Vinylcyclopropanes and Alkynes

Zhi-Xiang Yu,[†] Paul A. Wender,^{*,‡} and K. N. Houk^{*,†}

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90095-1569, and Department of Chemistry, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305

Received March 4, 2004; E-mail: houk@chem.ucla.edu; wenderp@stanford.edu

Rh(I)-catalyzed (5 + 2) reactions between vinylcyclopropanes (VCPs) and $2-\pi$ components such as alkynes, alkenes, and allenes provide a very efficient and straightforward method to construct seven-membered rings.^{1,2} Three Rh(I) complexes, the Wilkinson catalyst RhCl(PPh₃)₃, [Rh(CO)₂Cl]₂, and [(arene)Rh(COD)]SbF₆ complexes, have been shown to catalyze these transformations.¹ The dimeric rhodium complex catalyzes not only the intramolecular, but also the intermolecular (5 + 2) reactions between VCPs and alkynes. This dimer has also been used to catalyze (6 + 2), (5 + 2 + 1), and hetero-(5 + 2) reactions.³ Mechanisms for these reactions have been proposed but not investigated theoretically or experimentally. We have applied density functional theory (DFT)⁴ to explore the potential energy surfaces for these catalyzed cycloadditions, and report here the energy surface for the intermolecular (5+2) reactions between VCPs and alkynes.

Two mechanisms (see Figures 1 and 4) have been considered in previous publications.¹ Pathway I is found to be favored by DFT calculations for the intermolecular (5+2) reactions, and this mechanism is summarized in Figure 1. Initially, the rhodium dimer dissociates⁵ and coordinates to the VCP, leading to the formation of a VCP–Rh(CO)₂Cl complex. This complex can enter the catalytic cycle via either route *a* or *b* (the blue part of Figure 1). This communication describes the catalytic cycle (represented in black in Figure 1). Figure 2 shows the computed energies for the catalytic cycle via pathway I, and the corresponding structures of the stationary points involved are given in Figure 3.

Complex 1 formed by VCP and Rh(CO)Cl is a 16-electron (16e) complex and adopts a square-planar geometry, where the cyclopropyl group acts as the fourth ligand binding to the Rh center. The computed bond distance and bond order of the C₅–Rh bond in 1 are 2.68 Å and 0.07, respectively.⁶ Cleavage of the cyclopropyl bond in 1 via TS2 results in the formation of an η^3 -complex 3d which adopts a trigonal bipyramidal conformation with a CO ligand at the axial position; 3d can then relax to the more stable square-pyramidal conformers of 3a and 3b, where CO and Cl are in the equatorial plane. 3a and 3b are expected to be in equilibrium in the reaction system since 3a and 3b can convert back to 3d. Transforming 1 to 3a–b requires activation free energies of 13.6 and 15.2 kcal/mol, respectively. Coordinating solvents stabilize 1 and other intermediates but not the transition states (see Figure S4 of the Supporting Information).

An alkyne and 3a-d can form several 18e complexes.⁶ The most efficient route for alkyne insertion to generate 16e 6 starts from complex 4c, which is generated through coordination of the alkyne to 3b when the Cl ligand in 3b moves to its axial position. An intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) calculation shows that the most energetically favored alkyne insertion transition structure TS5 is connected to 4c and 6. The alkyne insertion, transforming 3a and



Figure 1. The mechanism of [Rh(CO)₂Cl]₂ catalyzed intermolecular (5 + 2) reactions between VCPs and alkynes.



Figure 2. The energy surface of the catalytic cycle of (5 + 2) reaction.⁴

alkyne to **6**, is the most difficult step of the catalytic cycle and requires an activation free energy of 21.9 kcal/mol ($\Delta\Delta H_{298K}^{\dagger} = 10.9$ kcal/mol). The alkyne insertion step is very exothermic.

The final step of the catalytic cycle is a reductive elimination process, where Rh(III) in **6** is reduced to Rh(I) in **8** via the transition structure **TS7**. Comparison of the bond distances of Rh–C₅ and Rh–C₆ in **6** and **TS7** shows that, in the transition structure, the former bond is partially broken and is elongated (2.09 vs 2.26 Å), whereas the latter bond is almost intact (2.02 vs 2.08 Å). This phenomenon suggests that **TS7** resembles a carbon-migration transition structure; therefore this step is better described as a migratory reductive elimination (MRE) step. Similar MRE processes have been observed in other C(sp³)–metal–C(sp² or sp) complexes.⁷ If the sp² hybridized C₆ in **6** is replaced by an sp³ hybridized C, the reductive elimination process becomes more difficult. The rhodium dimer has not been shown to catalyze the (5 + 2) reactions between VCPs and alkenes.^{1d} The present MRE step is very facile with an activation free energy of only 14.4 kcal/mol.

Overall, the catalytic cycle via pathway I (Figure 2) has a reasonable activation free energy and is highly exergonic (58.6 kcal/ mol).⁸

[†] University of California. [‡] Stanford University.



Figure 3. DFT computed structures of the stationary points in Figure 2 (Distances in Å).



Figure 4. Alternative mechanism (relative energies in kcal/mol and distances in Å).⁴

Figure 4 shows an alternative pathway (pathway II) which has been postulated for the catalytic cycle of the (5 + 2) processes. This pathway starts with oxidative coupling converting 9 to 11, which can then be transformed to 8 via cyclopropyl cleavage and MRE steps. The oxidative coupling step of this pathway requires an activation free energy that is 7.8 kcal/mol higher than that in pathway I. The higher activation barrier for the oxidative coupling is attributed to the square-planar conformation of 9, which has the alkyne and alkene fragments perpendicular to the square plane to maximize the back-donation interactions from d orbitals of the d⁸ Rh(I) to π^* orbitals of the alkene and alkyne moieties. However, to form 11, the alkyne and alkene fragments must twist from the parallel conformation to a planar conformation, and this geometrical requirement costs additional energy for the present case compared to the facile oxidative coupling processes of Ti, Zr, and Ta systems, where the metals and the coordinating alkenes are in the same plane.9

While $Rh(CO)_2^+$ is predicted to be an excellent catalyst, the energy of heterolysis of $Rh(CO)_2Cl$ prevents its formation from the neutral Rh dimer. Instead, dissociation of CO gives an active catalyst, Rh(CO)Cl. The active catalyst Rh(CO)Cl catalyzes the intermolecular (5 + 2) reactions between VCPs and alkynes through catalytic cycle I, involving the sequential reactions of cyclopropyl cleavage of vinylcyclopropane, alkyne insertion (rate-determining step), and a migratory reductive elimination.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to the National Science Foundation for financial support of this research through Grants CHE-0240203 and CHE-0131944.

Supporting Information Available: Computational details and references, including structures and energies of all stationary points. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org.

References

- (a) Wender, P. A.; Takahashi, H.; Witulski, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 112, 4720. (b) Wender, P. A.; Husfeld, C. O.; Langkopf, E.; Love, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 1940. (c) Wender, P. A.; Husfeld, C. O.; Langkopf, E.; Love, J. A.; Pleuss, N. Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 7203. (d) Wender, P. A.; Sperandio, D. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 4164. (e) Wender, P. A.; Glorius, F.; Husfield, C. O.; Langkopf, E.; Love, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 5348. (f) Wender, P. A.; Fuji, M.; Husfeld, C. O.; Love, J. A. Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 137. (g) Wender, P. A.; Rieck, H.; Fuji, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 10976. (h) Wender, P. A.; Rieck, H.; Fuji, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 10976. (h) Wender, P. A.; Dyckman, A. J.; Husfeld, C. O.; Scanio, M. J. C. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 1609. (i) Wender, P. A.; Barzilay, C. M.; Dyckman, A. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 179. (j) Wender, P. A.; Pedersen, T. M.; Scanio, M. J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 15154. (k) Wender, P. A.; Dyckman, A. J. Org. Lett. 1999, 13, 2089. (l) Wender, P. A.; Gamber, G. G.; Scanio, M. J. C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 3895.
- (2) Subsequent studies by our and other groups have shown that some (5 + 2) reactions can also be effected with other catalysts: see refs ld, II. Gilbertson, S. R.; Hoge, G. S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 2075. Binger, P.; Wedmann, P.; Kozhushkov, S. I.; de Meijere, A. Eur. J. Org. Chem. **1998**, 113. Wang, B.; Cao, P.; Zhang, X. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 8041. Wender, P. A.; Love, J. A.; Williams, T. J. Synlett. **2003**, 1259. Trost, B. M.; Shen, H. C.; Schultz, T.; Koradin, C.; Schirok, H. Org. Lett **2003**, 5, 4149 and references therein.
- (3) (a) Wender, P. A.; Correa, A. G.; Sato, Y.; Sun, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 7815. (b) Wender, P. A.; Gamber, G. G.; Hubbard, R. D.; Zhang, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 2876. (c) Wender, P. A.; Pedersen, T. M.; Scanio, M. J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 15154.
- (4) Stationary points and vibrational analyses were obtained with the B3LYP functional implemented in Gaussian 98. The 6-31G* basis set is applied, except for Rh, with which LANL2DZ+ECP were used. ΔG_{298K} and ΔH_{298K} (values in parentheses shown in Figures 2 and 4) are the calculated relative free energy and enthalpy at 298 K, respectively. Citations of the computational methods and references are given in Supporting Information.
- (5) See, for example: Wilson, M. R.; Prock, A.; Giering, W. P. Organometallics 2002, 21, 2758.
- (6) For the relative energies of other conformers of the stationary points shown in Figure 2, see Figure S1 of the Supporting Information.
- (7) See: Ozawa, F.; Mori, T. Organometallics 2003, 22, 3593 and references therein.
- (8) The catalytic cycle can easily restart via the ligand exchange reaction (8 + VCP = 1 + 1,3-cycloheptadiene, $\Delta G_{298K} = 9.4$ and $\Delta H_{298K} = 10.8$ kcal/mol) once a catalytic cycle is complete.
- (a) Negishi, E.; Takahashi, T. Acc. Chem. Res. 1994, 27, 124. (b) Wu, Y.-D.; Yu, Z.-X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 5777. (c) Yu, Z.-X.; Houk, K. N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 808.

JA048739M